

EVALUATION REPORT FOR DOCTORAL THESIS

Dear reporter:

First of all, the Doctoral School at ULPGC acknowledges your collaboration in this evaluation process. We remind you that this process is **confidential** and is based on the principles of fairness and impartiality. If you consider that you have a conflict of interest, or do not have time to carry out this evaluation within a maximum period of 15 business days, we thank you if you refrain from evaluating this doctoral thesis and notify it through a written communication to the EDULPGC. as soon as possible via email to: escuela.doctorado@ulpgc.es.

The doctoral thesis presented will be evaluated taking into account its scientific, technical, humanistic, legal or artistic quality, depending on its subject. The process considers the quality of the work carried out by the doctoral student according to the following criteria:

1. Scientific, technical, humanistic, legal or artistic quality (as appropriate).
2. Novelty and originality of the work.
3. Results of the activities undertaken.
4. Editing and writing style of the document.

The criteria must be evaluated qualitatively, taking into account, at least, the following aspects:

- **Criteria 1: Scientific, technical, humanistic, legal or artistic quality.**
 - Relevance of the proposed activities, the problems or hypotheses to be resolved to advance knowledge.
 - Expected results and their contribution to scientific knowledge, determining whether the objectives and hypotheses of the thesis are coherent and allow for achieving the expected results.
- **Criteria 2: Novelty and originality of the work.**
 - Relevance and originality of the thesis, based on the state of the art of the scientific, technical, humanistic, legal or artistic area. It will be evaluated whether the thesis envisages modifications to existing processes, hypothesis, concept or method, objective or innovative solutions to the problem posed in the thesis.
 - The degree of understanding of the subject achieved by the doctoral student will be determined and to what extent the proposal suggest creative, original or potentially transformative concepts (degree of innovation).
 - It should be taken into account whether a synthesis of the general context (national and international) in which the topic or hypothesis of the thesis is located is presented, the current state of knowledge of the problem, the existing weaknesses and the gap in knowledge covered by the submitted work, as well as the relevance and pertinence of the bibliography used.

- **Criteria 3. Obtained results.**
 - Internal coherence of the thesis.
 - Organization of the thesis in terms of the proposed objectives and the resources to execute them (duration, methodology and resources used).
 - Assessment of the results obtained and their coherence with the objectives and/or hypotheses proposed.
- **Criteria 4. Editing and writing style.**
 - Spelling correction, clarity and quality of the language used.
 - Quality of the table of contents and fidelity of the contents within the sections of the document.
 - Use of precise technical vocabulary.
 - Appropriate use of bibliography and citing style, including the use of relevant and updated literature.
 - Appropriate use of the scientific method (if applicable).
 - Quality of the tables and figures used.

EVALUATION REPORT

Ph.D. candidate name	
Title of the work	
Evaluator's name	
ID/Passport	

Please indicate below the assessment of each of the following sections. On the next page you can provide your opinions, in a reasoned manner, for each of the aspects indicated above.

The thesis is at least of standard quality in its field.	Yes	No
The literature used is relevant and up to date.	Yes	No
The thesis poses a significant contribution to its field and contributes in advancing in the state of the art.	Yes	No
The thesis is coherent and understandable concerning its objectives, methodology and conclusions.	Yes	No
The methodology is appropriate.	Yes	No
The work shows skills and a critical perspective of the Ph.D. candidate in the topic and research field.	Yes	No
The formal structure of the document (organization, language,...) is adequate.	Yes	No

FINAL RECOMMENDATION FOR THE DEFENSE OF THE DOCTORAL THESIS

I agree in its public defense.

I agree in its public defense, after minor reviews, as shown in the attached report.

I agree in its public defense, after major reviews, as shown in the attached report.

I don't recommend the work proceed for its public presentation.

COMMENTS ABOUT THE DOCTORAL THESIS

The 4 criteria previously indicated should be addressed: scientific quality, novelty and originality, results and edition.

Finally, I DECLARE under my responsibility that I am not involved in any conflict of interest that could compromise my impartiality and independence with respect to the evaluation of the work.

Date:

Signature: